

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/644,067	08/23/2000	Jesper Andersen	367.38905X00	1491	
20457 75	90 11/03/2003		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	, TERRY, STOUT & KI	YUN, EU	YUN, EUGENE		
1300 NORTH S	SEVENTEENTH STREET				
SUITE 1800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		2682	7	
			D. TELL . IV ED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Eugene Yun   2692			Application No.	Applicant(s)	
## Deficiency   Period for Reply    AS HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed attained from the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed attained by the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed attained by the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed attained by the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed attained by the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed attained by the provision of 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on the provision of 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on the provision of 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on the provision of 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on the provision of 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore even, however, may a reply be finely filed on 13 CFR 1.136(e). In ore filed on 14 CFR 1.136(e). In ore filed on 15 CFR 1.136(e). In	Office Action Summary			ANDERSEN ET AL.	
Eugene Yun  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address = Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a stopy within the statutory reinfluent of thirty (30) days will be considered limity. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a stopy within the statutory reinfluent of thirty (30) days will be considered limity. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a stopy within the statutory period will apply and will applie stope of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even d timely fled, may reduce any seamed parketime adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.76(4).  **Status**  1)				Art Unit	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   Part of the Part of Reply    A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extransion of aim may be servialed under the provisions of 3 Ceff 1.136(a). In a event, however, may a reply be limely filed after SEX (e) MONTH'S from the maining date of this communication, selly within the statutery minimum of thint (x)0 days will be considered timely.  If No Part of the reply is application whose, the maximum statutory period vial application is boorone AdMiniforation (2) days of the communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause this application is boorone AdMiniforation (2) days will be considered timely.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause this application is boorone AdMiniforation (2) days of the communication. Failure time definitions.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause this application is do the communication. Failure to reply within the set of reply will be statuted to the communication (2) days will be considered timely.  Any proper section by the Official state of the communication of the communication (2) days and the set of the communication (3) days are set of the communication is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merrits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  Application Papers  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is any approved by individual papers of the propers of the prope		-	Eugene Yun	1	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Examisors of time may be available under the previous of 2.07 Rt 1.38(s), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed.  If the period for reply specified above is less than triny (30) days, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit) (bit) (30) cays, a reply which the statutory reminimal (bit)			on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of term may be available under the provisions of 3°C RN 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this observable of the state			SERVIC CET TO EVOIRE 2 M	IONITH(S) EPOM	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	THE MAILI - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If the period - If NO period - Failure to reply received pater	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT if time may be available under the provisions of 37 ( MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory by within the set or extended period for reply will, by seived by the Office later than three months after the	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO vestable, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.    Disposition of Claims	1) <u> Res</u>	sponsive to communication(s) filed o	n		
closed in accordance with the practice under £x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 493 G.S. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		,			
A) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	3) Sine	ce this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal manner Experts Quayle, 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits	is
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.	Disposition o	f Claims		.5. 11, 455 6.6. 216.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are					
6) Claim(s) 19-43 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121.			ithdrawn from consideration.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•				
8  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10  The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11  The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•				
Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			aller alerties requirement	1	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			and/or election requirement.	/)	
10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 23 August 2000 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			raminer	i)	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	9)∐ The :	specification is objected to by the Ex	s/are: a\⊠ accepted or b)□ obio	ected to by the Examiner.	
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	10)[X] The (	plicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.  12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Αρ 11\□ The ι	pricant may not request that any especial proposed drawing correction filed or	is: a) approved b) □	disapproved by the Examiner.	
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			foreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> <li>14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).</li> <li>a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> <li>Attachment(s)</li> <li>1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).</li> </ul>					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			cuments have been received.		
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).  a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		application from the Internation	onal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).	14)☐ Ackn	owledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applica	ition).
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a) 🗆	The translation of the foreign langu	age provisional application has	been received.	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:	1) Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	-948) 5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	··

Art Unit: 2682

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 19, 20, 35-37, 42, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nils (GB 2325371 "IDS").

Referring to Claim 19, Nils teaches a communication unit comprising:

a cover part 26 (fig. 6) which is removable from a remainder of the communication unit 55 (fig. 6), when the cover part is removed, including a cavity 28 (fig. 6) containing internal parts which are not accessible to a user during use; and

a picture holder releasably holding a picture and holding the picture inside the cavity for viewing through the cover part (see ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 35, Nils teaches a communication unit including a cover part 26 (fig. 6) which is removable from a remainder of the communication unit 55 (fig. 6) with the remainder of the communication unit, when the cover is removed, including a cavity 28 (fig. 6) containing internal parts which are not accessible to a user during use, and a picture holder releasably holding a picture and holding the picture inside the cavity for viewing through the cover part by the user during the use of the communication unit (see ABSTRACT), a method comprising:

choosing a picture to be releasably held by the picture holder;

Art Unit: 2682

placing the picture in the picture holder;

attaching the cover part to the remainder of the communication unit to close the cavity (see fig. 6 and ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 36, Nils teaches a communication unit including a cover part 26 (fig. 6) which is removable from a remainder of the communication unit 55 (fig. 6) with the remainder of the communication unit, when the cover is removed, including a cavity 28 (fig. 6) containing internal parts which are not accessible to a user during use, and a picture holder releasably holding a picture and holding the picture inside the cavity for viewing through the cover part by the user during the use of the communication unit (see ABSTRACT), a method comprising:

removing a picture held by the picture holder;

replacing the picture in the holder; and

attaching the cover part to the remainder of the communication unit to close the cavity (see fig. 6 and ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 37, Nils teaches a communication unit comprising a cover part 26 (fig. 6) which is removable from a remainder of the communication unit 55 (fig. 6) with the remainder of the communication unit, when the cover is removed, including a cavity 28 (fig. 6) containing internal parts which are not accessible to a user during use, and a picture holder releasably holding a picture and holding the picture inside the cavity for viewing through the cover part by the user during the use of the communication unit (see ABSTRACT), a method comprising:

a picture guide provided for holding the picture 27 and 28 (fig. 6).

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claim 43, Nils teaches a communication unit including a cover part 26 (fig. 6) which is removable from a remainder of the communication unit 55 (fig. 6) with the remainder of the communication unit, when the cover is removed, including a cavity 28 (fig. 6) containing internal parts which are not accessible to a user during use, and a picture holder releasably holding a picture and holding the picture inside the cavity for viewing through the cover part by the user during the use of the communication unit (see ABSTRACT), a method comprising:

choosing a picture to be releasably held by the picture guide;

placing the picture which has been chosen in the picture guide on the cover part;

attaching the cover part to the remainder of the communication unit to close the cavity (see fig. 6 and ABSTRACT).

Referring to Claim 20, Nils also teaches the cover part as a front cover part of a mobile phone and the picture is releasably held by attachment of the picture holder to an internal part of the communication unit within the cavity (see fig. 6).

Referring to Claim 42, Nils also teaches the picture guide including an adhesive transparent film on which a picture is placed that is attached to the cover part (see pg. 15, lines 20-23).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2682

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nils in view of Rossi (US 5,664,013 "IDS").

Nils does not teach the cover part as a back cover part of a mobile phone and the picture holder located on an inside surface of the back cover part which faces the cavity. Rossi teaches the cover part as a back cover part of a mobile phone and the picture holder located on an inside surface of the back cover part which faces the cavity (see fig. 2). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Rossi to said unit of Nils in order to more easily be able to identify a specific phone.

5. Claims 22-25, 28-31, 38, 39, and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nils in view of Herrndobler (US 5,619,814).

Referring to Claims 22, 23 and 38, Nils teaches a picture guide comprising of a holding flap 26 (fig. 6) of a plastic film that is at one end attached to the cover part 27 (fig. 6) of the communication unit. Nils does not teach an adhesive strip in the middle part of the flap. Herrndobler teaches an adhesive strip in the middle part of the flap 31 (fig. 5). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Herrndobler to said unit of Nils in order to better prevent misplacement of photos or pictures in a holder.

Art Unit: 2682

Referring to Claims 24, 25 and 39, Herrndobler also teaches the adhesive strip holds a picture in a same place while in the picture guide (see col. 5, lines 41-52).

Referring to Claim 28, 29 and 41, Nils also teaches the picture guide including a plastic film attached to the cover part along three sides of the film (see fig. 6).

Referring to Claims 30 and 31, Nils also teaches the picture guide including an adhesive transparent film on which a picture is placed that is attached to the cover part (see pg. 15, lines 20-23).

6. Claims 26, 27 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nils and Herrndobler in view of Sharp (US 3,921,322).

Referring to Claims 26, 27 and 40, the combination of Nils and Herrndobler does not teach the picture guide including small stickers placed in all four corners of the picture. Sharp teaches the picture guide including small stickers placed in all four corners of the picture (see col. 1, lines 13-16). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Sharp to said unit of Nils in order to better prevent misplacement of photos or pictures in a holder.

7. Claims 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nils in view of Yasuda et al. (US 4,405,219).

Referring to Claim 32, Nils does not teach the holder associated with a display assembly for diaplaying text and numbers to the user. Yasuda teaches the holder

Art Unit: 2682

associated with a display assembly for diaplaying text and numbers to the user (see ABSTRACT). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the teachings of Yasuda to said unit of Nils in order to more uniquely personalize a communication unit.

Referring to Claim 33, Yasuda also teaches said picture comprising a sheet having at least one picture and one extractive part and the at least one picture is substantially a same size as the display and the extractable part projects from a slot between the display and an internal light guide of the communication unit (see ABSTRACT and fig. 60).

Referring to Claim 34, Yasuda also teaches the holder provided with an insert whereon the picture is mounted and that the insert is inserted in a slot between the display and a light guide (see ABSTRACT).

# Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-43 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2682

Page 8

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eugene Yun whose telephone number is (703) 305-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am-5:30pm Alt. Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (703) 308-6739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

> Eugene Yun Examiner Art Unit 2682

EY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600